

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/707,354 | 12/08/2003 | Kuang-Feng Sung | 10465-US-PA | 1353 |
| 31561 | 7590 10/17/200 | EXAMINER | | |
| JIANQ CH 7 FLOOR-1 | YUN INTELLECTU | WELLS, KE | WELLS, KENNETH B | |
| | T ROAD, SECTION 2 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| TAIPEI, 100 TAIWAN | | | 2816 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| An | 1 |
|-----|---|
| ~~1 | _ |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/707,354 | SUNG, KUANG-FENG | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Kenneth B. Wells | 2816 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Au | iaust 2005. | | | | | |
| , | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| , | owance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. · | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| • | | (4) - 7 (6) | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | "—··· | (070,440) | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice of Informal P | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2816

1. The drawings are objected to because in Figs. 2 and 3 the two inputs of element 202 should not both be labeled with reference numeral "20" (because these are two different inputs). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required Art Unit: 2816

corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are indefinite because it is still not understood what it is meant by the word "decayed". While applicant provided an explanation of this term in the 4/4/05 response, that explanation was respect to instant Fig. 2, whereas all of the present claims are directed to instant Fig. 3. It is not clear in what manner the signals Vg3 and Vg4 are "decayed". It is suggested that applicant simply delete this terminology from all of the claims and insert and amendment to better define what applicant means here. Claim 6 is indefinite due to lack of antecedent basis for the recited first and second inputs.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to

Application/Control Number: 10/707,354

Art Unit: 2816

be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Miyabi,

Kogushi, Hunt, Garcia and Sanwo et al in view of any one of

Taguchi, Taito, Morishita and Cruz et al.

In Miyabe, note Fig. 6, where the main output stage is the combination of transistors 61 and 62, the assistant output stage is the combination of transistors 63 and 64, and the monitoring stage is the combination of circuits 65 and 66. In Kogushi, note Fig. 1, where the main output stage is the combination of transistors 4-7, the assistant output stage is the combination of transistors 10 and 11, and the monitoring stage is the combination of transistors 12, 13, 22 and 23. In Hunt, note Fig. 4, where the main output stage is the combination of transistors 50, 54, 66 and current sink 69, the assistant output stage is the combination of transistors 72 and 74, and the monitoring stage is the combination of buffers 1 through 5. Garcia, note Fig. 6, where the main output stage is the combination of transistors P3, N2, P2 and N3, the assistant output stage is the combination of transistors P1 and N1,

and the monitoring stage is the combination of transistors P4 and N4.

Not disclosed in each of the primary references is the recited differential amplifier having its output received by the main output stage. Such would have been obvious, however, to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of any one of the above-noted secondary references, each of which teaches the well-known configuration of a buffer coupled to the output of a differential amplifier. Replacing the various buffers of the secondary references with the specific buffers of the primary references would have been obvious for the purpose of achieving the benefits/advantages which will be obtained when using the particular buffer of the primary references (note that each of these primary references teaches significant advantages associated with its particular buffer circuitry).

4. Claims 9-18 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claim 6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set

Art Unit: 2816

forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth B. Wells whose telephone number is (571)272-1757. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan, can be reached at (571)272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kenneth B. Wells Primary Examiner Art Unit 2816

October 13, 2005